

# MARKET COUPLING is a two-way street

Petrenko Daniil
Deputy CEO JSC MARKET OPERATOR

petrenko@oree.com.ua

Athens, June 06, 2024

# A LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT AS A LOGICAL DECISION

each MS and CP has own rules regarding movement of goods, persons, services and specifics some lines



necessity to establish local implementation projects (LIP)

LIP for market coupling process of Ukraine and Moldova (CP) with EU MS. First go-live window for CP to join SDAC and SIDC in 2025, Q4.

# **Eastern Europe LIP covers following borders:**

- Ukraine Poland
- Ukraine Slovakia
- Ukraine Hungary
- Ukraine Romania
- Ukraine Moldova
- Moldova Romania



#### The JSC MARKET OPERATOR (UMO):

- √ has obtained the observer status in the All Nemo Committee;
- ✓ UMO has organized DAM and IDM since July 01, 2019

#### MARKET COUPLING: AN IRONIC MOMENT

#### for MEMBER STATES:

a unified legal regime and absence of custom clearance

SINGLE SOLUTION

MARKET COUPLING: distribution of short-term liquidity in the electricity market

#### for CONTRACTING PARTIES:

the difference between legal regimes and existence of customs clearance

TAILOR MADE SOLUTION

joining MARKET COUPLING in a unique way

#### MARKET COUPLING IS A TWO-WAY STREET

#### **MEMBER STATES SIDE:**

EUROPEAN COMMISSION'S/ACER'S
OPINION ON THE EXTENSION OF PANEUROPEAN TCM TO CONTRACTING
PARTIES:

pan-European TCM apply only to Member States' (MS) market participants

#### **CONTRACTING PARTIES SIDE:**

# IMPLEMENTATION OF ELECTRICITY INTEGRATION PACKAGE:

harmonization of own energy legislation with European energy legislation (through development and approval of new laws or amendments to existing laws, development and approval of by-laws)

#### **BOTH SIDES:**

#### **MCO INTEGRATION PLAN:**

- 1) the current draft of MCO Integration Plan severely limit possibility of EnC Nemo to take part in all matters of importance and therefore protect their rights and interests if required;
- 2) the compromise draft MCO Integration Plan is not discussed, changed or approved from December 2023

# SOLVING TAX, CUSTOMS, TECHNICAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL ISSUES

the difference between legal regimes and existence of border

### **CHALLENGES OF CP MARKET COUPLING (1/2)**

Adherence of CP to SDAC and SIDC is different from adherence of MS, due to presence of legal border and different legal regimes.

·		
challenge	description	comments
the perimeter fee	To check whether TSO is a part of ITC mechanism	TSO need to join ITC mechanism or source to cover perimeter fee should be exist (i.e. TSO's fees)
CBAM	since January 01, 2026 MS shall apply CBAM when importing carbon-intensive goods into the customs territory of the EU from third countries	derogation from CBAM for CP until 2030; since 2030 applying Guarantees of origin; should be kept in mind market coupling is not a single precondition for derogation

## **CHALLENGES OF CP MARKET COUPLING (2/2)**

Adherence of CP to SDAC and SIDC is different from adherence of MS, due to presence of legal border and different legal regimes.

challenge

description

comments

GDPR; critical infrastructure security rules; IT security rules

GDPR, critical infrastructure security rules; IT security rules are not a part of CP legislation

to apply it contractually

own custom and tax regime

each MS and CP has own specific rules regarding custom and tax

to apply it contractually

specifics of the grid

The peculiarities of the grid to be analyzed on case by case basis. For example: Ukrainian Dobrotvir-Zamosc line which connects Ukrainian TPP with Polish grid.

Ukrainian solution is to exclude such line from coupling or to change topology of the network

Other challenges that will be identified by Parties to the LIP