Bringing market guidelines in the Energy Community

Agenda

I. Re-cap

II. Response to last meeting

General

- **Standard adaptations**
  - EC → ECS | ACER → ECRB | MS → CP
- **ad-hoc adaptations**
- **Implementation in one step**
- **Implementation deadlines**
- **Reciprocity relevant**
  - Title III
  - Alternative Title IV

Geographic scope



Regions

Shadow SEE CCR (+IT)



Bursthyn CCR



UAMO CCR (+PL)



Methodologies

All TSOs /(NEMOs)
All NRAs

European terms & conditions / methodologies / platforms

Transfer through PHLG decision applicable on Title III (CP-CP, CP-MS)



Relevant TSOs /(NEMOs) & NRAs

Regional (CCR) terms & conditions / methodologies / platforms



Developed on regional level and applicable on Title III (CP-CP, CP-MS)

Relevant TSOs /(NEMOs) & NRAs

National (CCR) terms & conditions / methodologies / platforms

National implementation applicable on CPs

Questions

1. Voting examples
2. Role of ACER vs. ECRB
   - Voting role of Title III MS in ECRB
3. Representation of Title III MS in MC voting

Agreeing on methodologies: Voting

EU CACM
TSOs/NEMOs voting

EnC CACM
TSOs/NEMOs voting

European methodologies:

- Qualified majority

55% of MS + 65% of population of the EU

European methodologies:

- Unchanged taken as part of EU acquis, applicable under PHLG decision requiring national transposition in CPs

Regional methodologies:

- Qualified majority of the region

72% of MS + 65% of population of the region

Region <5: consensus

Regional methodologies:

- Qualified majority of the region

2/3 of the CPs/MSs of the region

Region <3: consensus

Voting

- 2/3
  - CCR SEE: min 8 out of 12 without IT-ME 7 out of 11
  - CCR Bursthyn: min 3 out of 4
  - CCR UA/MD/PL: min 2 out of 3
- 72% of countries + 65% of population of the region
  - CCR SEE: min 9 out of 12 parties without IT-ME 8 out of 11 – equal voting powers
  - CCR Bursthyn: min 3 out of 4 countries
  - CCR UA/MD/PL: min 2 out of 3 - UA+PL vs MD

Questions

1. Voting examples
2. **Role of ACER vs. ECRB**
   - Voting role of Title III MS in ECRB
3. **Representation of Title III MS in MC voting**