ACER

European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators

CACM Regulation amendments

EnC workshop

21 April 2021



Table of contents

Process

- Content
 - Organization of markets
 - Market coupling
 - Capacity calculation
 - Bidding zone review
 - Reporting & monitoring
 - SOGL
- Discussion



What went wrong	Scoping phase	EC request for recommendation	Drafting phase	Public Consultation on draft amendments	Finalisation of amendments & recommendation	Decision phase BoR approval	Submission to EC
2019-2020	Q4 2020	Q1 2021	Q1 2021	Q2 2021			
Informal discussions	Ad hoc MESC workshop	Start formal process	Open for NRAs	8 weeks PC* + Public workshop	Q2-Q3 2021	Q4 2021	Q4 2021
			Ŧ	Ŧ	* ACER to consult Article 14 of the A	t stakeholders pursi ACER regulation	uant to



- Deliverables
 - List of amendments
 - Reasoning
 - Options where applicable
- Endorsement and communication to stakeholders

Drafting amendments & signaling options Discussing amendment proposals and options Decide on option to be included	SURVEY / AEWG	Each NRA to participate in Survey & report to AEWG If an option is supported by > 33% of NRA it is included in PC	PUBLIC CONSULTATION	Consult each amendment & reasoning including supported options
--	---------------	--	------------------------	--



Process

- Content
 - Organization of markets
 - Market coupling
 - Capacity calculation
 - Bidding zone review
 - Reporting & monitoring
 - SOGL
- Discussion



New CACM Regulation's structure (1/2)

TITLE I: GENE PROVISIONS	RAL					
TITLE II: ORGANISATION OF MARKET COUPLING & OF CAPACITY CALCULATION		CHAPTER 1 – MCO organization				
		CHAPTER 2 – Tasks & responsibilities				
		CHAPTER 3 - Costs				
CHAPTER		R 1 – Market coupling development		Section 1 – General requirements Section 2 – TCMs on algorithm development Section 3 – TCMs on market coupling operation		
TITLE IV: MARKET COUPLING	CHAPTER 2 - Single day-ahead coupling					
	CHAPTER	CHAPTER 3 - Single intraday Section 1 Continuo Section 2 Intraday				
_	CHAPTER 4 - Post coupling (C&S, CID, SCHE)					



New CACM Regulation's structure (2/2)

	CHAPTER 1 - General requirements				
TITLE III: CAPACITY CALCULATION	CHAPTER 2 - Capacity calculation methodologies				
	CHAPTER 3 - Capacity calculation process				
TITLE V: BIDDING ZONE REVIEW CHAPTER 1 - Bidding zone review process PROCESS					
TITLE VI: REPORTING & IMPLEMENTATION	CHAPTER 1 - Reporting				
MONITORING	CHAPTER 2 – Implementation monitoring				
TITLE VII: TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS	CRIDAS & Explicit capacity allocation in ID				
	CHAPTER 1 – Common grid model				
SOGL proposals	CHAPTER 2 – Remedial Actions				



• 3rd countries

Neither in the proposed amendment

Reporting and monitoring

RCC report on capacity calculation

Bidding zone review

No substantial changes compared to Regulation 943

Transitional and final provisions

Phasing out CRIDAs Deletion of previous transitional provisions (explicit capacity allocation in ID)



- Process
- Content
 - Organization of markets
 - Market coupling
 - Capacity calculation
 - SOGL
- Discussion



TITLE II – CH 1 - MCO organisation

Decentralised	approach	Centralised approach	
joint decision maki	rectly managed by the NEMO-TSO ng body (JDMB) and some other I by the JDMB to other entities	All tasks are managed by a single legal entity owned by all NEMOs and all TSOs	
Network cer	tric organisation	One-stop-shop organisation	
Rotation/Tender	Fallback operator		
MCO1 MCO2	JDMB CI distributor	Legal Single Entity	
	Last Resort NEMO		

	TITLE II – CH 2 - Tasks and responsibilities 1			
TASKS	Decentralised approach	Centralised approach		
 Developing algos, systems & procedures Assessing impact of products and functionalities on performance 	NEMO & TSO joint decision making body (JDMB)	Single legal entity		
 Receiving inputs Operating coupling through algorithms Validating and publishing results Calculating scheduled exchanges Performing co-optimization Performing backup 	Unbundled entities designated by the JDMB	Single legal entity		
Performing fallbackSharing congestion income	Entity designated by the JDMB	Single legal entity		
 Collecting congestion income Clearing and settlement between 	NEMOs	Single legal entity		
NEMO Trading hubs	Development tasks Operating tas	sks Post-coupling tasks		

	TITLE II – CH 2 - Tasks and responsibilities 2				
TASKS	Decentralised approach	Centralised approach			
 Receiving & anonymising orders Sending orders to the MCO 	Individual NEMOs	Individual NEMOs			
 Validating results Accepting & rejecting orders Informing market participants on 					
the results of their orders	Individual NEMOs	Individual NEMOs			
 Clearing and settlement with market participants Providing commercial schedules to TSOs 					
Last resort NEMO tasks	Entity designated by the JDMB	Single legal entity			
	Pre-coupling tasks	Post-coupling tasks			



TITLE II – CH 2 - Tasks and responsibilities 3

TSO TASKS	RCC TASKS		
Providing inputs to RCC for capacity calculation	Performing capacity calculation		
Validating capacity calculation results	Providing capacity calculation results to TSO		
Sending validated capacity calculation results to RCC	Sending validated capacity calculation results to MCO		
Verifying coupling results			
Respecting coupling results			
	Pre-coupling tasks Post-coupling tasks		



TITLE II – CH 3 - Costs

	Decentralised approach	Centralised approach
Methodology for eligible costs	To be submitted by all NEMOs and all TSOs to ACER	To be submitted by all NEMOs and all TSOs to ACER
Annual Cost Report	To be submitted by all NEMOs and all TSOs to NRAs/ACER	To be submitted by the MCO to NRAs/ACER
Approval of the Annual Cost Report	After 2 months from receipt, if no objections. Otherwise ACER decision	After 2 months from receipt, if no objections. Otherwise ACER decision
Cost recovery	Through network tariffs, regulated NEMO fees and other appropriate mechanisms	Through MCO fees on NEMOs and TSOs, which in turn recover through network tariffs, regulated NEMO fees and other appropriate mechanisms
Cost sharing	Current formula	Current formula
Incentive schemes	YES	YES



- Process
- Content
 - Organization of markets
 - Market coupling
 - Capacity calculation
 - SOGL
- Discussion



General Requirements

- General provisions
 - Current proposal: Definition of SDAC and SIDC. SIDC is now composed of Continuous Trading and IDAs.
- Pricing of cross-zonal capacity
 - Current proposal: One article consolidating DA + ID + IDAs.
- Algorithm Objectives
 - Current proposal: Define scalability and repeatability. Improve definition of "maximizing welfare".
 Consolidate DA + ID + IDAs.
 - Alternative: Separate Objectives and Requirements.
 - Allowing Non-Uniform Pricing models?
- Firmness of CZ Capacity
 - Current proposal: One article consolidating DA + ID + IDAs.



Products accommodated

- Current proposal: One article consolidating DA + ID + IDAs. Separation of tasks to be done by All NEMOs + TSOs and to be done by each NEMO individually.
- Harmonised technical price limits
 - Current proposal: One article consolidating DA + ID + IDAs. Alignment with Regulation 2019/943.
- Algorithm methodology
 - Current proposal: Streamlining governance of the Algorithm. Adds an explicit list of elements to be contained inspired in the current AM.



• SDAC gate closure time

- Current proposal: GCT proposed in the Timings and procedures to allow for flexibility in setting the back-up and fallback procedures (everybody expects the proposal of 12:00)
- Alternative (CRE): GTC set directly in CACM at 12:00

Fallback

- Current proposal: Timings and procedures will determine the whole fallback, which <u>implicitly</u> allows for regional differences
- Alternative: include a provision <u>explicitly</u> allowing regional differences
 - Differences to be justified, need for description of criteria?
 - Risk that TSOs will not think about harmonization once they are explicitly allowed to go regional.
- MCO Option 1 consequence: inclusion of a paragraph designating an entity to perform fallback



SDAC & SIDC

- Results provided by the algorithms (SDAC+IDAs) need clarification in terms of producing
 - Clearing price?
 - Clearing price with side payments / uplifts? (to be explicitly stated)
 - Clearing price according to a new (possible) definition?
 - Clearing price according to the objectives?

SIDC

- Explicit allocation of cross-zonal capacity
 - Deleted.
- CRIDAs
 - Phased out to be merged with IDAs, once IDAs are implemented. (moved to transitional arrangements)



- New chapter on post-coupling processes merging amendment proposals on
 - Clearing and settlement
 - NEMO Balance responsibility
 - Decentralized: each NEMO is BRP for exchanges to other NEMOs (NEMOs submit all CTS)
 - Centralized: each NEMO is BRP towards the MCO, MCO is BRP for XB exchanges (submit external CTS)
 - Congestion income distribution
 - Firmness of CZC in case of force majeure



- Process
- Content
 - Organization of markets
 - Market coupling
 - Capacity calculation
 - SOGL
- Discussion



TITLE III – CC – General changes

- New criteria for the determination of CCRs:
 - a BZ border can belong to multiple CCRs, if connecting two synchronous areas, or two different CCRs:
 - to allow FB CCMs to integrate these interconnectors with Advanced Hybrid Coupling approach
 - this may result in removing 'bumper' CCRs (such as Hansa)
- Inclusion of CEP70 requirements across the CC articles of CACM
 - Inclusion of all RA (also costly ones) in capacity calculation and validation, to comply with CEP70
- Reliability margin computation for NTC borders:
 - Option 1: keep the border-wise TRM value
 - Option 2: calculate line-wise FRM value, and use it in advance to the NTC calculation
- NTC calculation two options for NTC borders:
 - Option 1: keep the current NTC calculation, more details to regional methodologies
 - Option 2: calculate NTC values in two steps:
 - 1) determine the flow-based domain and RAM values;
 - 2) calculate max NTCs within the domain (*not applicable for CCRs intending to move to flow-based*)



- Articles on CGM and RD&CT methodology moved to the SO GL
 - All CGM provisions to be included in only one methodology to avoid inconsistencies
 - Discussion on RD&CT if to improve the text or just copy
- SO GL to be updated (CGM):
 - Inclusion of D-2 timeframe
 - Single methodology encompassing all the timeframes
 - Data exchange amended to include schedules (originally included in GLDPM)
- Two options for best RD forecast approach
 - Option 1: current process (without the best forecast of remedial actions in the CGM)
 - Option 2: includes the best forecast of all remedial actions in the CGM (relevant for capacity calculation only)



- Public consultation 15 April 10 June
- 2 workshops in May
- Florence forum 14-15 June
- June assessment of stakeholder responses, dedicated meetings with all parties concerned
- July-November drafting of the recommendation to the Commission
- 18 November AEWG (endorsement)
- 15 December BoR (voting)

Thank you for your attention!

Questions?



European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators

☑ info@acer.europa.eu☑ acer.europa.eu

