





Bringing market guidelines in the Energy Community

Energy Community Secretariat

Agenda

1. Procedures
2. Geographical scope
3. CCRs
4. Methodologies – existing vs new | pan-European vs regional
5. Voting
6. Others





All: open for participation by DG ENER, ACER, ENTSO-E

- **Standard adaptations**
 - EC → ECS | ACER → ~~ECRB~~ | MS → CP
- **ad-hoc adaptations**
- **Implementation in one step**
- **Implementation deadlines**
- **Reciprocity** relevant
 - Title III
 - Alternative Title IV



Geographic scope

■ ■ EU CACM


■ ■ EnC CACM

■ ■ EnC CACM


“switching on” of CPs and CP-MS interconnections

■ European Union


■ Contracting Parties




Shadow SEE CCR (+IT)




Burstyn CCR



UAMO CCR (+PL)




- CCRs include only the CP-CP & CP-MS borders
- MS-MS borders are covered in CCRs defined by ACER




Source: Explanatory document to all TSOs' proposal for CCR

Methodologies



EU CACM TSOs/NEMOs voting



EnC CACM TSOs/NEMOs voting

Pan-European methodologies:

- Qualified majority
55% of MS + 65% of population of the EU

Regional methodologies:

- Qualified majority of the region
72% of MS + 65% of population of the region

Region <5: consensus

Pan-European methodologies:

- *Unchanged taken as part of EU acquis, applicable under PHLG decision requiring national transposition in CPs*

Regional methodologies:

- Qualified majority of the region
2/3 of the CPs/MSs of the region

Region <3: consensus



