



Eastern gas supply risk group

Ukraine route



Security of Supply Coordination Group
11th meeting GAS Sub Group

*Performing and implementing
Regulation EU 2017/1938*

19 September 2018
Ljubljana

Regulation EU 2017/1938



Events

Long negotiation process for the new Gas S.O.S. Regulation



Approach change with respect to the initial CE proposal: "Risk based"



Italy "pen holder" of Ukrainian (and Libyan) group

"Italian chair team":
Francesca Di Macco (MiSE),
Michela Carrer (Stogit),
Claudio Montanari (Snam Rete Gas),
Nicola Zaccarelli (JRC) and
Andrea D'Elia (MiSE)

- Drafting of a **Cooperation mechanism**.

It provides:

- Aims
- Some procedural aspects
- Chair's and MSs' duties
- Contact list

- **Kick off meeting** and presentation of an indicative **calendar** of following meetings.

The idea was to have a meeting for each chapter (description of the system, infrastructural standards, identification of risks, risk analysis and evaluation, conclusions) of the Common Risk assessment, and in the time between meetings try to summarize and draft the chapter.

Methodological aspects and criticalities



Leading principles:

To be as brief as possible in descriptions:
(underline the required data only)

To justify delivered data with the related methodology used for their calculation

To consider, in the identification of risks, the one with cross border effect only

Nevertheless...

We experienced some “first-timers” problems in organising meetings and phone connections, asking for data and delivering minutes.

It's been a **learning-by-doing** process for our team

Meetings' dynamics



- Broad **participation** to events.
- **Fruitful discussions**, helped by **informal approach**, in order to clarify new and difficult sides of the process.
- Wide helpfulness in **sharing data**.
- All together we managed to keep following the path drawn by the Regulation **avoiding “detours”** into off-scope terrains
- Will to **share** organizational **burden**.
*Special thanks to **Austria** and **JRC** for hosting meetings of the group and even to **those who offered** to host as well but unfortunately wasn't possible to organize.*

Added value



We tried to involve in the process as much as possible **TSOs**, **UGS operators** of the relevant MSs and **JRC** as well.

National TSO and UGSO

Step forward in:

- **data gathering**
- **risk detection**

Joint Research Centre

Step forward in:

- **identification**
- **analysis**
- and **evaluation (GEMFLOW)**
of *risks*

Conclusion



The *lessons learnt* are the following:

- Sitting around a table (better real than virtual) is always the best way to work together, building connection and networks useful for any purpose related to security of supply and other purposes around this subject.
- Some time it is better to deliver possible solutions against perfect solutions taking into account a given constraint.
- Drafting the Common Risk Assessment for the first time helped to check the time schedule provided by the Regulation: maybe a slightly longer period could have helped to deliver a more accurate analysis.

Objectives for the *next* CRA:

- To have a more harmonized methodology among MSs in calculating or gathering all the data and formula requested by the Regulation.
- MSs should activate beforehand to involve relevant TSOs more in depth into this activity in order to be able to perform higher quality analysis of the transportation systems (e. g hydraulic model simulations).



Thanks for your attention

Andrea D'Elia