Questionnaire for the welfare evaluation of Projects of Energy Community Interest (PECIs) based on the implementation of Regulation 347/2013 EU for the Energy Community

Smart Grid projects¹

1	PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	
1.1	NAME OF THE PROJECT	
1.2	WAS THE PROJECT INCLUDED IN ANY OF THE FOLLOWING LIST OF PCIS? 2013 PCI Code, name:	
	NAME OF THE PROJECT PROMOTER ase submit the full legal name of the project promoter	

¹ REGULATION (EU) 347/2013 on guidelines for trans-European energy infrastructure incorporated and adapted by Ministerial Council Decision 2015/09/MC-EnC of 16 October 2015 Annex I,

Smart grids deployment: adoption of smart grid technologies across the Energy Community to efficiently integrate the behaviour and actions of all users connected to the electricity network, in particular the generation of large amounts of electricity from renewable or distributed energy sources and demand response by consumers.

1.4 NAME OF THE SHAREHOLDERS OF THE UNDERTAKING IMPLEMENTING THE INVESTMENT PROJECT

Shareholding

(in %)

Serbia Ukraine

Full legal name of

shareholder

Please submit the full legal name of each undertaking, the percentage of its shareholding in the project and information on their main activities. In case one of the shareholders is an investment holding, please also provide information on the ultimate owner(s) of the investment holding.

Main activities of

shareholder

Ultimate owner of

applicable)

investment holding (if

1.5	PROJECT WEBSITE A	CCORDING TO	ARTICLE 9(7)	OF THE ADO	PTED REGULATION
1.6	CODE OF THE PROJE	CT IN THE EU	TYNDP (IF AP	PLICABLE)	
1.7	HOSTING ENERGY C			ARTIES (WHF	ERE THE PROJECT IS
	LOCATED, PLEASE SP	PECIFY ALL TH	AT APPLY)		
	Albania				
	Bosnia ar	nd Herzegovina	a		
	FYR Mac	cedonia			
	Georgia				
	Kosovo*				
	Moldova				
	Montene	gro			
	=:=======	5 -			

^{*} This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with UNSCR 1244 and the ICJ Opinion on the Kosovo declaration of independence.

1.8	HOSTING EU MEMBER STATES (WEALL THAT APPLY)	HERE THE I	PROJECT IS LO	OCATED, PLEASE SPECIFY		
	Austria Belgium Cyprus Czech Republication Finland France Hungary Ireland Lithuania Luxembourg Poland Portugal Slovenia Spain		Bulgaria Denmark Germany Italy Malta Romania Sweden	Croatia Estonia Greece Latvia Netherlands Slovakia United Kingdom		
1.9	OTHER HOSTING COUNTRIES (WHEI	RE THE PRO	OJECT IS LOC	ATED)		
1.10	IMPACTED ENERGY COMMUNITY CO	ONTRACTI	NG PARTIES			
	untry is considered impacted where the gnificant (please specify all that apply Albania, expected impact	y)		1 0		
	Bosnia and Herzegovina,	expected is	mpact			
	FYR Macedonia, expecte	d impact _				
	Georgia, expected impact					
	Kosovo*, expected impact					
	Moldova, expected impact					
	Montenegro, expected impact					
	Serbia, expected impact					
	Ukraine, expected impact					
	IMPACTED EU MEMBER STATES (PI APPLY) untry is considered impacted where the					
are si	gnificant					
	Austria, expected impact		Bulgar	ia, expected impact		
	Croatia, expected impact		Czech	Republic, expected impact		
	Germany, expected impact		Greece	e, expected impact		
	Hungary, expected impact		Italy, e	expected impact		
	Poland, expected impact		Romar	nia, expected impact		

	Slovakia, expect	ed impact		Slovenia, expected	impact
	Other, please specify th	ne country		Other, please specify the c	country
	expected impact		-	expected impact	
	THER IMPACTED COU		oroject is not lo	ocated but where p	project's effects
are signi		eted where the p	zegeet is not it	semen out where p	Tojece S erreets
1.13 T	HE PECI IN THE NAT	IONAL NETWOR	k Developmi	ENT PLAN	
	Contracting Party	Project code in NNDP	Project name	Year of publication in the NNDP	HTML link to NNDP
1					
2					
3					+
5					
If the pr	HE PROJECT IN THE Notes oject is in any nation mary (max 500 work	al energy strateg	gy document, p	please provide the	ŕ
RE	RE THERE OTHER N EALISATION OF THE PI Yes, please indicate w	ROJECT?			
	Vo				

1.16	DOES YOUR PROJECT DEPEND ON THE REALISATION OF ANY OTHER NATIONAL OR CROSS-BORDER PROJECT?
	Yes, please indicate which project (refer to NNDP or TYNDP code if applicable):
	No
2	EVALUATION CRITERIA AND PERFOMANCE INDICATORS
<u>A - S</u>	Sustainability
2.1	TO WHAT EXTENT DOES THE PROJECT CONTRIBUTE TO THE REDUCTION OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF ELECTRICITY GRID INFRASTRUCTURE?
<u>B- C</u>	APACITY OF TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION GRIDS TO CONNECT AND BRING ELECTRICITY FROM AND TO USERS
2.2	Installed capacity of distributed energy resources (any generation located at the point of consumption) in distribution networks in each hosting country in 2017 or 2016 (please indicate year of data) MW
2.3	What is the maximum power generation that could be feed-in without congestion risks in both the distribution and the transmission network assuming no additional investment to the network in each hosting country in 2017 or 2016 (please indicate year of data)?
Tran Distr	smission networks: MW ribution networks: MW
2.4	TO WHAT EXTENT DOES THE PROJECT INCREASE MAXIMUM POWER GENERATION THAT COULD BE FEED-IN WITHOUT CONGESTION RISKS?
	smission networks: MW ibution networks: MW

2.5	WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF ENERGY NOT WITHDRAWN FROM RENEWABLE SOURCES DUE TO CONGESTION OR SECURITY RISKS IN EACH HOSTING COUNTRY IN 2017 OR 2016 (PLEASE INDICATE YEAR OF DATA)?
	in GWh/year
2.6	TO WHAT EXTENT DOES THE PROJECT REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF ENERGY NOT WITHDRAWN FROM RENEWABLE SOURCES DUE TO CONGESTION OR SECURITY RISKS? in GWh/year
2.7	WHAT MEASURES ARE ALREADY FORESEEN IN EACH HOSTING COUNTRY TO PREVENT CONGESTION OF THE NETWORK? (REFER TO NNDP OR TYNDP CODE IF APPLICABLE)
<u>C – I</u>	NETWORK CONNECTIVITY AND ACCESS TO ALL CATEGORIES OF NETWORK USERS
2.8	WHAT ARE THE FEATURES OF THE INVESTMENT PROJECT IN REGARDS TO THE OPERATIONAL FLEXIBILITY FOR DYNAMIC BALANCING OF ELECTRICITY IN THE NETWORK E.G DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT/DEMAND RESPONSE?
2.9	WHAT ARE THE METHODS ADOPTED TO CALCULATE NETWORK CHARGES AND TARIFFS, AS WELL AS THEIR STRUCTURE IN EACH HOSTING COUNTRY, FOR BOTH GENERATORS (IF APPLICABLE) AND CONSUMERS AND TO WHAT EXTENT DO THESE SET INCENTIVES FOR DYNAMIC BALANCING OF ELECTRICITY IN THE NETWORK?
2.10	SMART GRIDS MAY HAVE A POSITIVE EFFECT ON "TIME TO GRID". HOW LONG, ON AVERAGE, DOES IT TAKE IN EACH HOSTING COUNTRY TO CONNECT A NEW CONSUMER AND HOW IS IT EXPECTED TO CHANGE AFTER THE REALIZATION OF THE INVESTMENT PROJECT (PLEASE PROVIDE INFORMATION FOR THE CONNECTION OF GENERATION AND OF LOAD)?

$\underline{\textbf{D}-\textbf{SECURITY} \ \textbf{AND} \ \textbf{QUALITY} \ \textbf{OF} \ \textbf{SUPPLY}}$

2.11	DEMAND IN EACH HOSTING COUNTRY?	CAPACITY AND PEAK
	bly available capacity (MW):demand (MW):	
2.12	WHAT IS THE SHARE OF ELECTRICITY GENERATED FROM REMEACH HOSTING COUNTRY WHEN COMPARED TO YEARLY DEM GENERATION CONNECTED? PLEASE INDICATE THE LAST AVA DATA (PLEASE SPECIFY ALSO FOR WHICH YEARS DATA IS PRO	AND AND YEARLY TOTAL ILABLE THREE YEARS'
Share Share	e of renewable generation compared to yearly demand (%):e of renewable generation compared to yearly total generation (%):
2.13	WHAT ARE THE MAIN FEATURES IN RELATION TO THE STABIL ELECTRICITY SYSTEM AND VOLTAGE QUALITY EXPECTED FROM IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INVESTMENT PROJECT IN EACH HO	OM THE
2.14	WHAT ARE THE EXPECTED DURATION AND FREQUENCY OF IN CUSTOMER, INCLUDING WEATHER RELATED DISRUPTIONS IN COUNTRY?	
Dura Frequintern Frequ	tion of interruptions per customer without project: tion of interruptions per customer expected with project: uency of interruptions per customer without ruptions/customer/year uency of interruptions per customer expected with project: interruptions/customer/year	hour/customer/year
	EFFICIENCY AND SERVICE QUALITY IN ELECTRICE GRID	TY SUPPLY AND
2.15	WHAT ARE THE EXPECTED LEVELS OF NETWORK LOSSES IN TO DISTRIBUTION NETWORKS IN EACH HOSTING COUNTRY IN 2011 INDICATE YEAR OF DATA)?	
	smission networks (GWh):	

2.16 THE DEMAND FOR ELECTRICITY VARIES THROUGHOUT THE DAY AND ACROSS SEASONS; SMART GRIDS CAN REDUCE THESE PEAKS AND OPTIMISE SYSTEM OPERATION. WHAT IS THE RATIO BETWEEN MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM ELECTRICITY DEMAND WITHIN A DEFINED TIME PERIOD?

Average day in:	Minimum demand	Maximum demand	Expected minimum with investment	Expected maximum with investment
Unit	MW	MW	MW	MW
January				
April				
July				
October				

2.17	WHAT ARE THE MAIN FEATURES OF THE INVESTMENT PROJECT IN RELATION TO
	DEMAND SIDE PARTICIPATION IN ELECTRICITY MARKETS AND IN ENERGY EFFICIENCY
	MEASURES?

$\frac{F-CONTRIBUTION\ TO\ CROSS-BORDER\ ELECTRICITY\ MARKETS\ BY}{INCREASE\ INTERCONNECTION\ CAPACITIES}$

2.18 PLEASE DESCRIBE THE IMPACT OF THE INVESTMENT PROJECT ON CROSS-BORDER FLOWS AND THE INTERCONNECTION CAPACITIES AND PROVIDE AN ESTIMATE OF THE PORTION OF THE TRANSMISSION GRID IMPACTED BY THE INVESTMENT PROJECT

3 TECHNICAL INFORMATION ON THE PROJECT

3.1 PLEASE DEMONSTRATE CLEARLY THE "SMART GRID DIMENSION" OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT (I.E. CLARIFYING WHY THE PROPOSED PROJECT CAN BE CONSIDERED A SMART GRID PROJECT) AND PROVIDE DETAILS OF THE SMART GRID FEATURES THAT WILL BE IMPLEMENTED BASED ON ARTICLE 4 OF THE IMPLEMENTED REGULATION 347/2013.

	How does the project qualify in the following dimensions?
integration and involvement of network users with new technical requirements with regard to their electricity supply and demand;	
efficiency and interoperability of electricity transmission and distribution in day-to-day network operation;	
network security, system control and quality of supply	
optimised planning of future cost-efficient network investments;	
market functioning and customer services;	
involvement of users in the management of their energy usage;	

3.2 PLEASE PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF THE PROJECT COMPLIANCE WITH THE TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN THE REGULATION

3.3 FOR EACH OF THE TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS REPORTED BELOW, PLEASE PROVIDE THE CORRESPONDING PROJECT VALUE AND DISCUSS IN DETAIL PROJECT COMPLIANCE

Criteria	Required value	Analysis of project compliance	Project value in Euro (synthetic outcome of analysis of project compliance)
Voltage level(s) (kV):	>10kV		
Number of users involved (producers, consumers and prosumers):	>50,000		
Consumption level in the project area (GWh/year):	300 GWh/year		
% of energy supplied by non- Dispatchable resources (in terms of capacity)	>20%		
Projects involving transmission and distribution operators from at least two MS	at least 2 Member States		

3.4	BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION (MAIN GOAL AND EXPECTED BENEFITS OF THE
	PROJECT)

3.5 EXPECTED DATE OF COMMISSIONING (YEAR)

	IFETIME OF IN					,
EXPECTE	D COSTS O	F THE PI	ROJECT			
EACH YEAR	CATE TOTAL C OF THE INVEST ON COSTS) IN 2	MENT PERIC	D (INCLUDI	NG MATERIA		OJECT FOR
Calendar						
year Cost (Real 2016 million EUR)						
2 ESTIMATED	VARIATION IN	CAPEX (+/-	-%)			
3 EXPECTED A REAL EUR	NNUAL OPERA	TING EXPEN	DITURES (O	PEX) OF TH	IE PROJE(CT IN 2017
Calendar year						
Cost (Real 2016 EUR)						
, ,	1		1 1	1	1 1	'

5.1 PLEASE INDICATE THE CURRENT STATUS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

Please tick all boxes for the project phases that have already been completed by all parts of the project (i.e. ticking planning approval would indicate that planning approval has been granted for <u>all</u> sections/parts of the project)

 Consideration phase
Preparatory studies / pre-feasibility studies
Technical feasibility study
Environmental impact assessment
Economic feasibility study / cost-benefit analysis
Market survey / open season / capacity auction
Detailed design study (FEED/Main Design)
Financing secured
Planning approval / permitting
Approval by regulatory authority
Final investment decision
Tendering
Construction

5.2 PLEASE GIVE AN INDICATIVE IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE AS OF NOVEMBER 2017

If the project phase has already been fully completed, it is sufficient to provide only the end date. Please leave the respective cell empty if date for a specific implementation phase is not yet known

	Start date (month, year)	End date (month, year)
Consideration phase		
Preparatory studies / pre-feasibility studies		
Technical feasibility study		
Environmental impact assessment		
Economic feasibility study / cost-benefit analysis		
Market survey / open season / capacity auction		
Detailed design study (FEED/Main Design)		
Financing secured		
Planning approval / permitting		
Approval by regulatory authority		
Final investment decision		
Planning approval / permitting		
Approval by regulatory authority		
Final investment decision		
Tendering		
Construction		

	y (NIF), western Balkan	G (SUCH AS THE NEIGHBOURHOOD N INVESTMENT FRAMEWORK (WBIF)					
☐ No							
Yes, application for f	Yes, application for funding has been submitted						
Yes, financial suppor	t has already been granted,	the level of support in million EUR is:					
Financial support has	s been granted in year:						
Financial support alre	eady been granted has been	/ will be used for:					
PROJECT What mitigation mea	sures have you foreseen to a	address these risks?					
6 CONTACT DETA		ested for clarifications and additional					
information if necessary.	Primary contact	Secondary contact					
Name of contact person							
Organisation Position							
Email address Phone number*							
I none number							

^{*} including country dialling code